

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/913,887 12/14/2001 Jan Svoboda 3594-041-999 5988 7590 11/05/2003 **EXAMINER** Pennie & Edmonds RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C 1667 K Street NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20006 3653 DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim VV
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/913,887	SVOBODA, JAN
		Examiner	Art Unit
•		Joseph C Rodriguez	3653
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 10	September 2003 .	
2a)⊠ Ti	his action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) 19-29 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are rejected.		
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
	e specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>11 June 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ /	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1.[Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	
2.[Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14)∐ Ackı	nowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trader	mark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

Final Rejection

Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for reasons detailed below.

The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections are maintained or modified as follows:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 24 and 27 recite the limitation "the magnetizing coil[s]". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Further, it is unclear if Applicant intended to include this limitation in base claim 19.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "C-dipole tips" (In. 3). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the tips of upper and lower members" (In. 3).

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the steel core" (In. 3). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, the language "magnetic field pattern is achieved by appropriate shaping" renders the claims indefinite as the range of possible shapes

Art Unit: 3653

makes the scope of the claims unascertainable. Examiner recommends eliminating this language and claiming the shape with particularity as required.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the newly submitted "Brief Description of the Drawings" is replete with spelling errors wherein "dipole" is spelled as "diople".

Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 29 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed as the newly presented claim is an apparatus and the originally presented claims are a process. These inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). Here, the claimed process can be, and are, practiced by materially different apparatuses.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 29 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Examiner has maintained the prior art rejections, statutory rejections and drawing objections as previously stated and as modified above. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,887

Art Unit: 3653

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is **703-308-8342**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during normal business hours (9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9326** (After-Final **703-972-9327**).

The **UnOfficial** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-306-2571** or **703-308-6552**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 703-746-3678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

November 3, 2003

DONALD R WALSH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600